

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION. PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

08/DEC/2000

## MEMORANDUM

Subject:

EPA Reg. No: 66222-GL Rimon 10EC

DP Barcodes:

D263782

Case No:

065088

PC Code:

124002

Masih Hashim, Toxicologist

. Registration-Division (7505C)

To:

Suku Oonnithan, PM Team 04

Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch

Registration Division (7505C)

Applicant:

Makhteshim-Agan of North America

551 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10176

### FORMULATION FROM LABEL:

% by wt. Active Ingredient(s): 10.0 Novaluron 90.0 Inert Ingredients Total: ...

Note: This Memo supercedes the previous one from 8-8-00.



<u>BACKGROUND</u>: Makhteshim-Agan of North America has submitted a set of acute toxicity studies (MRID 449645-01 through 06) to support the registration of its Product Rimon 10EC, File Symbol 66222-GL. These studies were conducted at the Huntingdon Life Sciences, England and Medcon Laboratories, Sudkampen, Walsrode.

<u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>: Five studies; acute oral, acute dermal, eye irritation, dermal irritation and guinea pig sensitization are in accordance with the Sub-Division F guidelines.

The Company submitted a waiver request for the inhalation study. If HED determines that the technical product has  $LC_{50} > 5.15$  mg/L, the product will have low inhalation toxicity (Table 2 of letter 9-9-99). The Company also assured that the product will not pose inhalation hazard. Therefore, TRB grants a waiver for this study.

One correction is required in the percentages and decimal place for the column 13 of CSF dated November 4, 1999, so that it properly reflects the label and the Bean Sheet.

The toxicology profile for the File Symbol 66222-GL is as follows:

acute oral toxicity acute dermal toxicity	IV.	acceptable
acute inhalation toxicity	IV	waived
primary eye irritation	II	acceptable
primary skin irritation	III	acceptable
dermal sensitization	pos.	acceptable-1998
dermal sensitization	neg.	unacceptable (data gap)

### LABELING:

ID #: 066222-00035 Rimon 10EC

#### RESTRICTED USE CLASSIFICATION RECOMMENDED:

Due to eye irritation toxicity category.

The PM Team should decide if restricted use classification is necessary or if alternative labeling will allay the requirement for restricted use classification.

SIGNAL WORD: WARNING

PRECAUTIONARY STATEMENTS:

Causes substantial but temporary eye injury. Harmful if absorbed through skin. Do not get in eyes or on clothing. Avoid contact with skin. Wear goggles or face shield. Remove contaminated clothing and wash clothing before reuse. Prolonged or frequently repeated skin contact may cause allergic reaction in some individuals

## STATEMENT OF PRACTICAL TREATMENT (SOPT):

IF SWALLOWED: Call a poison control center or doctor immediately for treatment advice. Have person sip a glass of water if able to swallow. Do not induce vomiting unless told to by a poison control center or doctor. Do not give anything by mouth to an unconscious person.

IF ON SKIN OR CLOTHING: Take off contaminated clothing. Rinse skin immediately with plenty of water for 15-20 minutes. Call a poison control center or doctor for treatment advice.

IF IN EYES: Hold eye open and rinse slowly and gently with water for 15-20 minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present, after the first 5 minutes, then continue rinsing eye. Call poison control center or doctor for treatment advice.

## NOTE TO PHYSICIAN:

Note to PM/CRM/Registrant: The proposed label should contain a "Note to Physician." The following statements are suggested types of information that may be included, if applicable:

- technical information on symptomatology;
- use of supportive treatments to maintain life functions;
- medicine that will counteract the specific physiological effects of the pesticide;
- company telephone number to specific medical personnel who can provide specialized medical advice.

The following "Note to Physician" statement is required for the subject product:

Probable mucosal damage may contraindicate the use of gastric lavage.

## DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE ORAL TOXICITY TESTING (870.1100)

Product Manager: 04 MRID No.: 449645-01

EPA Reviewer: M Hashim Study Completion Date: 9-30-96

Study No.: MBS 70

Testing Facility: Huntingdon Life Sciences, Cambridge, England

Author: L.A. McRae

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Test Material: Rimon 10EC

Species: Rats/CD Age: 8-12 weeks Weight: 200-229

Source: Harlan UK, Bicester, Oxon, England

### Conclusion:

LD<sub>50</sub> (mg/kg):

Males: Females: · Combined: >5000 mg/kg

>5000 mg/kg >5000 mg/kg

The estimated LD<sub>50</sub> is >5000 mg/kg

3. Tox. Category: IV

Classification: Acceptable

Procedure (Deviations): None

#### Results:

Dosage (mg/kg)	Number of Deaths/Number Tested				
	Males	Females	Combined		
5000	0/5	0/5	0/10		

Observations: Most rats showed pilo-erection, hunched posture, lethargy, wadling gait, decrease in respiratory rate, and bright yellow stained urine. A few rats showed pallor of the extremities, liquid feces, increased salivation and unsteadiness.

## DATA REVIEW FOR ACUTE DERMAL TOXICITY TESTING (870.1200)

Product Manager: 04 MRID No.: 449645-02 EPA Reviewer: M Hashim

Study Completion Date: 9-30-96

Study No.: MBS 67

Testing Facility: Huntingdon Life Sciences, Cambridge, England

Author: L.A. McRae

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Test Material: Rimon 10EC

Species: Rats/CD Age: 8-12 weeks Weight: 233-290

Source: Harlan UK, Bicester, Oxon, England

#### Conclusion:

Dermal LD<sub>50</sub> (mg/kg):

 Males:
 >2000 mg/kg

 Females:
 >2000 mg/kg

 Combined:
 > 2000 mg/kg

. 2. The estimated LD<sub>so</sub> is :> 2000 mg/kg · · · ·

. . . 3. . Tox. Gategory: III ... Classification:: Acceptable

Procedure (Deviations): None

#### Results:

Dosage (mg/kg)	Number of Deaths/Number Tested				
	Males	Females	Combined		
2000	0/5	0/5	0/10		

Observations: Slight Erythema and edema were noted in one animal through 4-10 days. Desquamation of the skin was seen in 2 animals.

Gross Necropsy: Unremarkable.

## DATA REVIEW FOR PRIMARY EYE IRRITATION TESTING (870.2400)

Product Manager: 04 MRID No.: 449645-03 EPA Reviewer: M Hashim Study Completion Date: 9-2-96 Study No.: 10-03-0202/00-96

Testing Facility: Medcon Lab

Author: D. Honack

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Test Material: Rimon 10EC

Dose: 0.1 ml in the eye Species: Rabbit/NZW

Source: E A Prinzhorn, Alterbucker Damm 38

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

## Conclusion:

Toxicity Category: II

2. Classification: Acceptable

Procedure (Deviations ): Age and weight of the rabbit is not recorded.

Lesion	l h	24 h	48 h	72 h	4 d	5 d	6 d	7 d	8 d	9 d
corneal opacity	2/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3
Iritis	0/3	1/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	2/3	2/3	2/3	2/3
conjunctivitis	2/3	3/3	3/3	3/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3

Comments: Two is a positive score for conjunctiva and 1 for cornea and iris.

Corneal lesion remained in one animal up to 15 days. The test substance is a severe irritant.

## DATA REVIEW FOR PRIMARY DERMAL IRRITATION TESTING (870.2500)

Product Manager: 04 MRID No.: 449645-04 EPA Reviewer: M Hashim Study Completion Date: 9-19-96

Study No.: MBS 68

Testing Facility: Huntingdon Life Sciences, UK

Author: Brenda Parcell

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Test Material: Rimon 10EC

Dose: 0.5 ml

Species: Rabbit/NZW Source: Charles River, UK

Age: 11-14 weeks Weight: 2.3-3.2 kg

#### Conclusion:

1. Toxicity Category: III

. 2. . Classification: Acceptable

Procedure (Deviations): The exact scores for PDIS are not given.

at a retained to an in-

Rabbits showed erythema, edema, and desquamation of the stratum corneum. These lesions subsided by day 11. TRB has classified the product as moderately irritant.

## DATA REVIEW FOR TESTING OF DERMAL SENSITIZATION (870.2600)

Product Manager: 04 MRID No.: 449645- 05 EPA Reviewer: M Hashim Study Completion Date: 2-13-98 Study No.: , MAK/467

Testing Facility: Huntingdon Life Sciences, UK

Author: David Coleman

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Test Material: Rimon 10EC Positive Control: HCA

Species: Guinea pigs/Hartley

Source: D. Hall, UK Age: 4-7 weeks Weight: 268-424 g

Conclusion: 1- The test substance is a dermal sensitizer 2- Acceptable

Procedure (Deviations): None

Method: Magnussaon and Kligman/Maximization

Based on a preliminary study intradermal injection was 0.5% v/v in water Topical application as applied.

Challenge application 30% and 15% v/v in distilled water.

Dose levels for HCA were determined by the periodic historical control.

The test results showed that 12 of 20 animals showed positive response to dermal reaction/sensitization.

Based on this study the product is a dermal sensitizer.

## DATA REVIEW FOR TESTING OF DERMAL SENSITIZATION (870.2600)

Product Manager: 04 MRID No.: 449645- 06 EPA Reviewer: M Hashim

Study Completion Date: 4-21-99

Study No.: , MAK/516

Testing Facility: Huntingdon Life Sciences, UK

Author: David Coleman

Quality Assurance (40 CFR §160.12): Included

Test Material: Rimon 10EC Positive Control: HCA

Species: Guinea pigs/Hartley

Source: D. Hall, UK Age: 4-7 weeks Weight: 362-438 g

Conclusion: 1- non sensitizing 2- Unacceptable

Procedure (Deviations): None

Method: Buehler Method-.

The test group had 20 animals, with 10 animals as negative control, and the Positive Control had 20 animals (historical control). The animals were first induced for 3 weeks (9 exposures), and then challenged in accordance with the Buehler method.

The concentration of the test substance was full strength for induction, and 0.1% v/v in dist. water for challenge.

For positive control, there was full strength for induction and challenge was 50% v/v in Alembicol D for challenge.

**Results:** There was some reaction in the last phase of induction for the test animals. Finally at challenge with 0.1 % concentration gave negative reaction.

#### Comments:

An earlier test in 1998 MRID 44945-05 (see last page) showed positive results to sensitization. This test is supposed to be more sensitive for this kind of study. The current test with the same compound produced negative data using Buehler method. The selection for the concentration of the challenge dose is confusing, because the highest non reactive concentration tested in screening was 100% page 31 (449645-06). The rationale for using 0.10% as a challenge dose is questionable.

TRB concludes that the product has the potential for sensitization reaction.

# **ACUTE TOX ONE-LINERS**

1. DP BARCODE: D263782

2. PC CODE: 124002

3. CURRENT DATE: 8-7-00

4. TEST MATERIAL: Novaluron 10%

Study/Species/Lab Study #/Date	MRID	Results	Tox. Cat.	Core Grade	
Acute oral toxicity/rat/ Huntingdon / MBS 70/ 9-30-96	449645-01 LD <sub>50</sub> >5000 mg/kg		IV	A	
Acute dermal toxicity/ rat/Huntingdon/ MBS 67/962160/AC/ 9-30-96	449645-02	LD <sub>so</sub> >2000 mg/kg	101	A	
Acute inhalation toxicity	-	-	IV	w	
Primary eye irritation /rabbit/ Medcon/ 10-03-0202/00-96/ 9-2-96	449645-03	severe irritant	Н	A	
Primary dermal irritation/rabbit/ Huntingdon / MBS68/ 9-19-96	449645-04	moderately irritant	101	A	
Dermal sensitization guinea pig/ Huntingdon /MAK467 2-13-98	449645-05	sensitizer		A	
Dermal sensitization guinea pig/ Huntingdon /MAK516/ 4-21-99	449645-06	-	-	U	

Core Grade Key: A =Acceptable, S = Supplementary, U = Unacceptable, V = Self Validated